

From: Rick Jenkins
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/6/01 3:41pm
Subject: Microsoft monopoly

I'm not sure if you wish to take into account the opinions of a foreigner, but you can always junk this if not.

Microsoft has a long history of stealing the bulk of its software. Even the first version of MS/DOS was merely QDOS (Quick and Dirty Operating System), a microkernel developed for embedded applications, with disk drivers added by Bill Gates. Hence the emphasis placed on the disk drivers in the name MS/DOS (MicroSoft Disk Operating System). As far as I am aware, the only company to win a lawsuit against Microsoft was Stacker, and they were promptly taken over, lock stock and barrel, by ... Microsoft. Few can afford to oppose a company with Microsoft's budget. Such theft hampers, or in most cases prevents, the development of competing software companies.

If mere theft and suppression of competition were the only issues, one might say that Microsoft was little worse than many other large corporations. A deeper issue is that the continuing monoculture of operating systems is a threat to the health of the software industry in general, and to all industries which rely on computers. Viruses targeted at Microsoft systems can do immense damage to the entire infrastructure of your nation, by damaging business records and hindering communication.

There exist no viruses which effectively attack Linux, because security was considered from the outset of the design, and because problems are rapidly tackled as soon as they are found; often, an effective patch is freely available on the internet within hours of the discovery of a problem. This demonstrates that an operating system, even a popular one, need not display the extreme vulnerability of Microsoft's systems.

Unless Microsoft can be spurred to improve its systems, particularly in respect of security, the U.S. will remain vulnerable. By encouraging their monopoly position, the D.O.J. is effectively encouraging them to continue to produce poor, insecure, and excessively vulnerable systems.

It is likely that the U.S. national interest would be well served if real competition existed in operating system design, so that viruses targeting particular systems were less effective. At present, there appears some danger that Microsoft could collapse altogether under the weight of its own incompetence and complacency, leaving a vacuum which could be filled only by Linux. This would lead to another monoculture, admittedly of a much better quality system, but not necessarily much less dangerous.

I would urge you to reduce the power of Microsoft to dominate the operating system market, in order that Microsoft may survive.

--

Rick Jenkins <rick@hartmantech.com>

Hartman Technica <http://www.hartmantech.com>

Phone +1 (403) 230-1987 voice & fax

221 35 Avenue. N.E., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2E 2K5